



LPAC/PSC meeting 24 November, 2015

Members present		Members absent	
Laura Longworth, Chairperson, NAC	<i>[Signature]</i>	Diane Wade-Moore, ARR a.i., UNDP	
Gustavo Perera, ED, NAC	<i>[Signature]</i> calendar		
Enrique Romero, M&E Officer, NAC	<i>[Signature]</i>		
Russell Manzanero, NAP, MOH			
Allison Green, Project Manager, UNDP	<i>[Signature]</i>		
Asad Magaña, M& E Officer, UNDP	<i>[Signature]</i> departed the country		
Leslie Perera, Project Assistant, UNDP	<i>[Signature]</i>		
Agenda Items	Comments/Questions	Decisions/Actions taken	
The meeting started at 9:30 am.	The members of the Project Steering Committee were formally introduced.	1. It was decided that a NAC Civil Society Representative should be a permanent member of the PSC.	
1. Welcome and introductions of PSC members			
2. Project Organization Structure	Mrs. L. Longworth, Chairperson of NAC, stated that quarterly meetings are important to remain engaged during the implementation of the grant. Gustavo Perera, the ED of NAC, stated that there has to be the distinction between the NAC and the NAC Secretariat in the NAC Act. Asad Magaña, the M& E at UNDP, stated that there was no budget for males-at-risk and that the TB component needs to be up and running as soon as possible. Gustavo Perera stated that there was an under-	1. A calendar will be drafted for quarterly meetings of the PSC. 2. NAC will follow up with the Global Fund on PASMO's implementation with PEPFAR, since no final resolution has been shared to date. 3. The PR shared that there was miscommunication between GF and the country regarding how that indicator was measured and	

## United Nations Development Programme

	<p>performance of the indicator for ages 15-24 as there is a 'troubling' trend in the response to HIV/AIDS by 15-24 year olds. He also stated that the National Youth Strategy was developed but there was no funding for implementation.</p>	<p>therefore reported in the last PU. It was clarified in that the last management letter to GF, to which no response was received.</p> <p>4. The PSC Organization Structure was accepted as proposed.</p>
<p>3. Purpose of LPAC/PSC</p>	<p>The PM, UNDP explained the purpose and role of the LPAC/PSC</p>	<p>1. The purpose and roles of the PSC are indicated in the Project Document.</p> <p>2. Agreement was reached by the members of the committee on the purpose and roles of the PSC.</p>
<p>4. Project budget by year</p>	<p>The PM, UNDP shared the activities for year 1 including budget lines and the corresponding modules where the interventions will take place.</p> <p>The ED, NAC said there is a need for international consultants to conduct the population size estimate and mapping exercise, since the issue of size estimates is a major problem for validity of data and interventions informed by that data and because the methodology should be exhaustive.</p> <p>He informed that a size estimate had been done but had some weakness, since representative population sizes were not captured.</p>	<p>1. Further information is detailed in the project document.</p> <p>2. The PLACE methodology was suggested as one to be considered for the mapping exercise.</p> <p>3. There needs to be a strong oversight group to oversee the process and strengthened communication between the PR and NAC.</p>

**United Nations Development Programme**

<p>5. AOB: Special conditions and work plan</p>	<p>The Removing Legal Barriers, Module 7, includes 4 pillars. The PM informed that the activity and budget details will be completed before beginning of the new grant with the assistance of the UNDP-GF Partnership mission coming to Belize in December to hold consultations on this. The mission will be in Belize from December 14-17, 2015. The mission will be focusing on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The assessment of organizations for hosting a National Human Rights Observatory.</li> <li>2. Possibility to link the Human Rights portion of CVC's concept note with Belize's Removing Legal Barriers module activities.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Chair of NAC clarified that it was a Human Rights observatory, not a secretariat and queried whether there is a possibility of hosting it at the NAC office. If such a possibility exists, there would need to be a review of NAC ACT and the seeking of legal advice from the Attorney General's Office.</li> </ol>
<p>6. SRS and budgets for year 1</p>	<p>The M&amp;E, UNDP, indicated that before SRS engage in outreach, there needs to be the completed budget line (BL) 1 which refers to a consultancy to develop standardized target group-sensitive prevention package. The PA, UNDP, presented the budget and activities for year 1 for the SRS and implementing partners: MoH, NAC, Hand in Hand and BFLA. The M&amp;E, UNDP, mentioned that PANCAP Regional Stigma and Discrimination Unit (RSDU) Tool Kit needs to be tailored to the Belizean context. The ED, NAC, said that SRS need to be held accountable for their performance and under performance.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Chair stated the NAC would check with the Director, PANCAP, Derek Springer, for an electronic version of the Toolkit.</li> <li>2. The budget allocations per activities by SRS were accepted as presented.</li> </ol>

*reviewed by  
Karen E. Banda, DHA*

*KEB*